

INVERSE THEOREM BY BERNSTEIN-NEWTON INTERPOLATION POLYNOMIALS

Avinash Kumar Sharma¹ and T.A.K. Sinha²

¹Department of Mathematics, Magadh University Bodh Gaya, India

²Department of Mathematics, S.M.D Punpun, Patliputra University Patna

E-mail: ak95257016@gmail.com, thakurashok1212@gmail.com

(Received on May 9, 2019)

ABSTRACT: The Bernstein-Newton interpolation polynomials are defined for $f \in L_p[0,1]$ as

$$L_{n,m}(f,t) = (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) \left\{ f(u) + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{n^{\frac{j}{2}}}{j!} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(t - \left(u + \frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \Delta^j f(u) \right\} du.$$

In this paper we have tried to prove $\omega_{m+1}(f, \tau, p, I) = O(\tau^\alpha)$, where $\alpha < m + 1$.

KEYWORDS: Newton-interpolation polynomial, Durrmeyer modification of operators, Bernstein polynomials

1. INTRODUCTION¹

Bernstein polynomials ([1], [2], [5], [9] & [12]) show an affinity for convergence to continuous functions. The convergence for integrable functions in L_p -norm has been studied in [9]. The limitation in convergence has been overcome by different methods in ([4], [7] & [12]). However, Newton-Bernstein polynomials have exhibited better rate of convergence in [10] & [11]. Research workers have investigated the smoothness of function, given the proximity of linear (positive) operators to function. This is also known as Inverse theorem. In this paper we have analyzed the nature of function from the given rate of convergence.

2. DEFINITION AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

Bernstein-Newton-interpolation polynomials are defined for $f \in L_p(I)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ as

$$L_{n,m}(f,t) = (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) p_m(u,t) du, \quad (2.01)$$

where

$$p_m(u,t) = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{n^{j/2}}{j!} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(t - \left(u + \frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) \Delta^j f(u), \quad (2.02)$$

$p_{n\vartheta}(t) = \binom{n}{\vartheta} t^\vartheta (1-t)^{n-\vartheta}$, Δ is forward difference of step size $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. It follows from Beta integral that

$$(n+1) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) du = 1$$

and hence

$$L_{n,m}(1,t) = 1 \quad (2.03)$$

It follows from interpolation properties [3] that

$$L_{n,m}(u^r, t) = t^r; r \leq m \quad (2.04)$$

and hence

$$L_{n,m}((u-t)^r, t) = 0; r \leq m. \quad (2.05)$$

Notations: $I = [0,1]$, $I_1[a_1, b_1]$, $I_2 = [a_2, b_2]$;

¹ Corresponding Author: Avinash Kumar Sharma
E-mail: ak95257016@gmail.com

$0 < a_1 < a_2 < b_2 < b_1 < 1$.

Lemma 2.1: There holds for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive real number 's'

$$(i) (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^r du \leq \frac{c}{(\sqrt{n})^r},$$

$$(ii) (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^s du \leq \frac{c}{(\sqrt{n})^s},$$

$$(iii) (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) |\vartheta - nt|^r \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^s du \leq \frac{c}{(\sqrt{n})^{s-r}},$$

Uniformly in $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof. (i) The proof follows from properties of Beta integral & [10].

(ii) Let r be a natural number $> s$. Let $p_1 = \frac{r}{s}$. Then $p_1 > 1$.

Let q_1 be its conjugate. Then last inequality now follows from Holder's inequality & (1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^s du \right| \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \right)^{1/q_1} \left(\sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 (n+1) p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^{sp_1} du \right)^{1/p_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \left(\sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 (n+1) p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^{sp_1} du \right)^{1/p_1} \leq \frac{c}{(\sqrt{n})^s}, \text{ from (i).}$$

(iii) An application of Holder's inequality in sum followed by Holder's inequality in integral entails

$$\begin{aligned} & (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) |\vartheta - nt|^r \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^s du \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) |\vartheta - nt|^{rp} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 (n+1) p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^s du \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq c_1 (\sqrt{n})^r \left\{ \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^{sq} du \right\}^{1/q} \\ & \leq \frac{c_2}{(\sqrt{n})^{s-r}}, \end{aligned}$$

using order of moments of Bernstein polynomials [6] and (ii).

Lemma 2.2 ([10] & [11]): Let $f \in L_p(I)$, $p > 1$ and $s \in R^+$. Then there holds

$$\|L_{n,m}(f(u)(u-t)^s, t)\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq \frac{c}{(\sqrt{n})^s} \|f\|_{L_p(I_1)} + \frac{c}{n^l} \|f\|_{L_p(I)},$$

where $l > 0$ is arbitrary.

Corollary 2.3 ([10] & [11]): Let $f \in L_p(I)$, $p > 1$, $r \in N$, $s \in R^+$. Then

$$\left\| (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n P_{n\vartheta}(t) |\vartheta-nt|^r \int_0^1 P_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^s f(u) du \right\| \leq \frac{c}{(\sqrt{n})^{s-r}} \|f\|_{L_p(I)}.$$

Proof. An application of Holder's inequality in sum followed by Holder's Inequality in integral yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n P_{n\vartheta}(t) |\vartheta-nt|^r \int_0^1 P_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^s f(u) du \right|^p \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{\vartheta=0}^n P_{n\vartheta}(t) |\vartheta-nt|^{rq} \right)^{1/q} \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{\vartheta=0}^n P_{n\vartheta}(t) \left(\int_0^1 (n+1) P_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^s |f(u)|^p \right)^{1/p} \right)^p \\ & \leq c(\sqrt{n})^r \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^s} \|f\|_{L_p(I)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

This follows from order of moment of Bernstein polynomials [6] and Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.2 ([10] & [11]): Let $f \in L_p(I)$, $p > 1$, $r \in N$. Then there holds

$$\|L_{n,m}((u-t)^r \int_t^u f(w)dw, t)\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq \frac{c}{(\sqrt{n})^{r+1}} \|f\|_{L_p(I_1)} + \frac{1}{n^l} \|f\|_{L_p(I)},$$

where $l > 0$ is arbitrary.

Lemma 2.5 ([6]) Let $\omega(\tau)$ be a monotonic increasing function of τ . Let $0 < \alpha < r$ and τ, η be small positive numbers such that $\omega(\tau) \leq c \left\{ \eta^\alpha + \left(\frac{\tau}{\eta}\right)^r \omega(\eta) \right\}$. Then $\omega(\tau) = O(\tau^\alpha)$, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 2.6 ([9], p.107): Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p[a, b]$. If f has m derivatives on $[a, b]$, where $f^{(m-1)} \in A.C.[a, b]$ and $f^{(m)} \in L^p[a, b]$, then

$$\Delta_\delta^m f(x) = \int_0^\delta \dots \int_0^\delta f^{(m)}(x + \sum_{i=1}^m t_i) dt_1 \dots dt_m;$$

$x \in [a, b - m\delta]$.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $f \in L^p[a, b]$ and $[a_1, b_1] \subset [a, b]$. The Steklov mean $f_{n,m}(t)$ of m^{th} order for sufficiently small $\eta > 0$ is defined as

$$f_{\eta,m}(t) = \eta^{-m} \int_{-\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} \dots \int_{-\frac{\eta}{2}}^{\frac{\eta}{2}} \left(f(t) + (-1)^{m-1} \Delta_{\sum_{i=1}^m t_i}^m f(t) \right) dt_1 \dots dt_m,$$

$t \in [a_1, b_1]$. The integral modulus of smoothness for $f \in L^p[a, b]$ is defined as

$$\omega_m(f, \tau, p, [a, b]) = \text{Sup}_{0 < \delta \leq \tau} \|\Delta_\delta^m f(t)\|_{L^p[a, b - m\delta]}.$$

We obtain estimates for derivatives of Steklov means in terms of corresponding order of integral modulus of smoothness of the function. Some of the elementary values have been

discussed in Ditzian and May ([2] & [7]) when $f \in L^p$ is periodic, proof is given in Timan ([9], p.167).

Lemma 2.7: The function $f_{\eta,m}$ has derivatives up to order m , the $(m-1)^{th}$ derivative is absolutely continuous on $[a_1, b_1]$ and m^{th} derivative exists a.e. and belongs to $L^p[a_1, b_1]$. Moreover, there holds

- (1) $\|f_{\eta,m}^{(r)}\|_{L^p[a_1, b_1]} \leq c_r \eta^{-r} \omega_r(f, \eta, p, [a, b]), r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m;$
- (2) $\|f - f_{\eta,m}\|_{L^p[a_1, b_1]} \leq c_{m+1} \omega_m(f, \eta, p, [a, b]),$
- (3) $\|f_{\eta,m}\|_{L^p[a_1, b_1]} \leq c_{m+2} \|f\|_{L^p[a, b]},$
- (4) $\|f_{\eta,m}^{(m)}\|_{L^p[a_1, b_1]} \leq c_{m+3} \eta^{-m} \|f\|_{L^p[a, b]},$

where c_j 's are constants independent of f and η .

2. 3 MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in L_p(I)$ satisfy

$$\|L_{n,m}(f, t) - f(t)\|_{L_p(I_1)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^\alpha, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $\omega_{m+1}(f, \tau, p, I_2) = O(\tau^\alpha)$, as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, where $0 < \alpha < (m+1)$.

In the proof of this theorem we require two lemmas which we prove first.

Lemma 3.2: Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(I)$ with support $f \subset I_2$. Then

$$\left\| \frac{d^{m+1}}{dt^{m+1}} L_{n,m}(f, t) \right\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq c(\sqrt{n})^{m+1} \|f\|_{L_p(I_2)}.$$

Proof. A typical term of $L_{n,m}(f, t)$ is

$$T_1(t) = c_1(n+1)(\sqrt{n})^{j-r_2} \left\{ \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n \binom{n}{\vartheta} \int_0^1 \{t^\vartheta(1-t)^{n-\vartheta} (t - ur) \times p_{n\vartheta} u f u + r_3 n du \right\} \quad (3.01)$$

where $r_1 + r_2 = j$, $r_1 > 0$; $0 \leq r_3 \leq j$. we find from (Lemma p. 26 [6]).

That a typical component of $\frac{d^{m+1}}{dt^{m+1}}(T_1(t))$ is

$$T_{11}(t) = c_1(n+1)(\sqrt{n})^{j-r_2} n^i \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) (\vartheta - nt)^{j_0} \times \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) f\left(u + \frac{r_3}{\sqrt{n}}\right) (t-u)^{r_1-s} du, \quad (3.02)$$

where $2i + j_0 \leq k$, $0 \leq k \leq m+1$, $s = m+1-k$; $i, j_0 \geq 0$.

This is bounded in L_p -norm; by Corollary 2.3, as

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{11}(t)\|_{L_p(I_2)} & \leq c_2 \frac{(\sqrt{n})^{j-r_2+2i}}{(\sqrt{n})^{r_1-s-j_0}} \|f\|_{L_p(I_2)} \\ & \leq c_2 (\sqrt{n})^{m+1} \|f\|_{L_p(I_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes proof of Lemma.

Lemma 3.3: Let $1 < p < \infty$, $f \in L_p(I)$ with support $f \subset I_2$. Moreover f has $m+1$ derivatives on I_2 with $f^{(m)} \in A.C.(I_2)$ and $f^{(m+1)} \in L_p(I_2)$, then

$$\left\| \frac{d^{m+1}}{dt^{m+1}} L_{n,m}(f, t) \right\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq c \|f^{(m+1)}\|_{L_p(I_2)}.$$

Proof. The smoothness conditions of f imply that

$$f(u) = \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{(u-t)^i}{i!} f^{(i)}(t) + \frac{1}{m!} \int_t^u (u-w)^m f^{(m+1)}(w) dw. \tag{3.03}$$

We apply operator $L_{n,m}(\cdot, x)$ on both sides and using (2.3)

$$L_{n,m}(f, x) - f(t) = \frac{1}{m!} L_{n,m} \left(\int_t^u (u-w)^m f^{(m+1)}(w) dw, x \right) + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{f^{(i)}(t)}{i!} L_{n,m}((u-t)^i, x).$$

We now use (3.03) in $L_{n,m}(f, x)$ as

$$L_{n,m}(f, x) = (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^x p_{n\vartheta}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{n^{j/2}}{j!} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(x-u-\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \Delta^j \times \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^m \frac{(u-t)^r}{r!} f^{(r)}(t) + \frac{1}{m!} \int_t^u (u-w)^m f^{(m+1)}(w) dw \right\} \right\} du \tag{3.04}$$

We put $(u-t)^r = (\overline{u-x} + \overline{x-t})^r$,

$$\sum_{r_4=0}^r \binom{r}{r_4} (u-x)^{r_4} (x-t)^{r-r_4}. \tag{3.05}$$

From (3.04)

$$L_{n,m}(f, x) = (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(x) \times \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{n^{j/2}}{j!} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(x-u-\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right\} \Delta^j \times \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^m \frac{(u-t)^r}{r!} f^{(r)}(t) \right\} du + \frac{1}{m!} (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^m p_{n\vartheta}(x) \times \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{n^{j/2}}{j!} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(x-u-\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \times \Delta^j \left(\int_t^u (u-w)^{m+1} dw \right) \right\} = \sum_{r=0}^m T_{2,r} + T_{2,m+1}, \tag{3.06}$$

$$T_{2,0} = (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) f(t) du = f(t) \tag{3.07}$$

In $T_{2,r}$, we use (3.05) to obtain $(r+1)$ terms. When $r_4=0$, this term

$$= (n+1) \sum p_{n\vartheta}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) \times \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{n^{j/2}}{j!} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(x-u-\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \Delta^j \left\{ \frac{f^{(r)}(t)}{r!} (x-t)^r \right\} \right\} du = \frac{f^{(r)}(t)}{r!} (x-t)^r, \tag{3.08}$$

when $r_4 \neq 0$;

$$T_{6,r} = \sum_{r_4=1}^r \binom{r}{r_4} (x-t)^{r-r_4} (n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) \times \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{n^{j/2}}{j!} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(x-u-\frac{i}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \Delta^j \left\{ \frac{f^{(r)}(t)}{r!} (u-x)^{r_4} \right\} \right\} du = 0. \tag{3.09}$$

A general component of $T_{2,m+1}$ is

$$\Xi(x,t) = c_1(n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) (\sqrt{n})^{j-r_2} (x-u)^{r_1} \times \int_t^{u+\frac{r_3}{\sqrt{n}}} (u-w+\frac{r_3}{\sqrt{n}})^m f^{(m+1)}(w) dw du \tag{3.10}$$

$$= c_1(n+1) \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) (\sqrt{n})^{j-r_2} (x-u)^{r_1} \times \int_t^u \left(u-w+\frac{r_3}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^m f^{(m+1)}(w) dw du + c_1(n+1) \times \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) (\sqrt{n})^{j-r_2} (x-u)^{r_1} \times \int_u^{u+\frac{r_3}{\sqrt{n}}} \left(u-w+\frac{r_3}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^m f^{(m+1)}(w) dw du = c_2(n+1) \sum_{r_5=0}^m (\sqrt{n})^{j-r_2-m+r_5} \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(x) \times \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) (x-u)^{r_1} \int_t^u (u-w)^{r_5} f^{(m+1)}(w) dw du + c_2(n+1) \sum_{r_5=0}^m (\sqrt{n})^{j-r_2-m+r_5} \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(x) \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) (x-u)^{r_1} \times \int_t^{u+\frac{r_3}{\sqrt{n}}} (u-w)^{r_5} f^{(m+1)}(w) dw du = c_2(n+1) \sum_{r_5=0}^m (\sqrt{n})^{r_6} (\xi_1(x,t) + \xi_2(x,t)), \text{ say } (r_6 = j - r_2 - m + r_5) \tag{3.11}$$

Now, $\frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}} \xi_1(x,t)$ consists of finite number of terms of type as

$$c_3 \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n (n+1) n^i p_{n\vartheta}(u) (\vartheta - nx)^{j_0} \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) (x-u)^{r_1-s} \times \int_t^u (u-w)^{r_5} f^{(m+1)}(w) dw du, \tag{3.12}$$

where $i, j_0 \geq 0; 2i + j_0 \leq k, s = m + 1 - k, 0 \leq k \leq m + 1; 0 \leq r_5 \leq m$. Thus

$$\left| \frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}} \xi_1(x,t) \right|_{at=x=t} \leq c_3 \sum_{i,j} n^i \sum p_{n\vartheta}(t) |\vartheta - nt|^{j_0} (n+1) \times \int_0^1 p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^{r_1-s+r_5} \left| \int_t^u |f^{(m+1)}(w)| dw \right| du,$$

Making a use of Holder's inequality in sum and in integral (twice) and properties of Hardy Little-wood majorant ([8],P.05)

$$\sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) |\vartheta - nt|^{j_0} \int_0^1 (n+1) p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^{r_1-s+r_5+1} \times \left| \frac{1}{|u-t|} \int_t^u f^{(m+1)}(w) dw \right| du \leq \left(\sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) |\vartheta - nt|^{j_0 q} \right)^{1/q} \times \left\{ \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \left\{ \int_0^1 (n+1) p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^{r_1-s+r_5+1} |H_{f^{(m+1)}}(u)|^p du \right\}^{1/p} \right\} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\leq c_4 (\sqrt{n})^j \left\{ \sum_{\vartheta=0}^n p_{n\vartheta}(t) \int_0^1 (n+1) p_{n\vartheta}(u) |u-t|^{(r_1-s+r_5+1)p} |H_{f^{(m+1)}}(u)|^p du \right\}^{1/p}$$

We now proceed as in the analysis of direct convergence ([10] & [11]) to obtain

$$\left\| \frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}} \xi_1(x,t) \right\|_{at=x=t} \Big\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq c_5 n^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{r_1-s+r_5+1}} \|f^{(m+1)}\|_{L_p(I_2)} = c_5 \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{r_1+r_5-m}} \|f^{(m+1)}\|_{L_p(I_2)}. \tag{3.14}$$

We deal with similarly $\xi_2(x,t)$ as in $\xi_1(x,t)$ and analysing as in the direct convergence ([10] & [11])

$$\left\| \frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}} \xi_2(x,t) \right\|_{at=x=t} \Big\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq \frac{c_6}{(\sqrt{n})^{r_1-m+r_5}} \|f^{(m+1)}\|_{L_p(I_2)} \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.11), (3.14) and (3.15),

$$\left\| \frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}} \xi(x,t) \right\|_{at=x=t} \Big\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq c_7 (\sqrt{n})^{j-r_2-m+r_5} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{r_1-m+r_5}} \|f^{(m+1)}\|_{L_p(I_2)}$$

$$= c_7 \|f^{(m+1)}\|_{L_p(I_2)}$$

$$\text{and therefore } \left\| \frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}} T_{6,m+1} \right\|_{L_p(I_2)} \leq c_8 \|f^{(m+1)}\|_{L_p(I_2)}$$

We notice from (3.07), (3.08) and (3.09) that $T_{2,r}$ are polynomials in x of degree

$$r \leq m, \text{ and as such } \frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}} T_{2,r} = 0. \text{ We conclude that}$$

$$\left\| \frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}} L_{n,m}(f, t) \right\|_{L_p(I_2)} = \left\| \frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}} L_{n,m}(f, x) \right\|_{at \ x=t} \leq c \|f^{(m+1)}\|_{L_p(I_2)}.$$

This completes proof of Lemma. We are now in a position to prove our theorem. We choose points

$$x_i, y_i; i = 1, 2, 3 \text{ as follows such that } a_1 < x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < a_2, a_2 < b_2, b_2 < y_3 < y_2 < y_1 < b_1.$$

We further choose a function $g \in C_0^{m+1}$ with support $g \subset (x_2, y_2)$ and $g \equiv 1$ on $[x_3, y_3]$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1}(fg)(t) \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} \\ & \leq \left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1}(fg)(t) - L_{n,m}(fg, t) \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} \\ & \quad + \left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1} L_{n,m}(fg, t) \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Let $\bar{f}_{n,m+1}$ be Steklov mean for $fg = \bar{f}$. We write

$$\Delta_\gamma^{m+1} L_{n,m}(fg, t) = \int_0^\gamma \dots \int_0^\gamma L_{n,m}^{(m+1)}(fg, t + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} t_i) dt_1 \dots dt_{m+1}.$$

We use Holder's inequality $(m+1)$ times to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1} L_{n,m}(fg, t) \right|^p \\ & \leq \gamma^{(m+1)\frac{p}{q}} \int_0^\gamma \dots \int_0^\gamma \left| L_{n,m}^{(m+1)}(fg, t + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} t_i) \right|^p dt_1 \dots dt_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We next use Fubini's theorem $(m+1)$ times to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{x_2}^{y_2} \left| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1} L_{n,m}(fg, t) \right|^p dt \\ & \leq \gamma^{(m+1)\frac{p}{q}} \int_0^\gamma \dots \int_0^{y_2} \left| L_{n,m}^{(m+1)}(fg, t + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} t_i) \right|^p dt_1 \dots dt_{m+1} \tag{3.17} \\ & \leq \gamma^{(m+1)p} \left\| L_{n,m}^{(m+1)}(fg, t) \right\|_{L_p[x_2', y_2']}^p \end{aligned}$$

(for sufficiently small γ) We unite (3.16) and (3.17). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1} L_{n,m}(fg, t) \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} \\ & \leq \left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1} ((fg)(t) - L_{n,m}(fg, t)) \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} \\ & \quad + \gamma^{(m+1)} \left\| L_{n,m}^{(m+1)}(fg, t) \right\|_{L_p[x_2', y_2']}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } x_2' = x_2, y_2' = y_2 + (m+1)\gamma \leq \left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1} \{ \bar{f}(t) - L_{n,m}(\bar{f}, t) \} \right\|_{L_p[x_2', y_2']} + \gamma^{m+1}$$

$$\times \left\{ \left\| L_{n,m}^{(m+1)}(\bar{f} - \bar{f}_{\eta, m+1}, t) \right\|_{L_p[x_2', y_2']} + \left\| L_{n,m}^{(m+1)}(\bar{f}_{\eta, m+1}, t) \right\|_{L_p[x_2', y_2']} \right\}$$

$$\leq \left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1} \{ \bar{f}(t) - L_{n,m}(\bar{f}, t) \} \right\|_{L_p[x_2', y_2']} + c_1 \gamma^{m+1}$$

$$\times \left\{ (\sqrt{n})^{m+1} \left\| \bar{f} - \bar{f}_{\eta, m+1} \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} + \left\| \bar{f}_{\eta, m+1}^{(m+1)} \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} \right\}$$

(by Lemma (3.02) and (3.03))

$$\leq \left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1} \{ \bar{f}(t) - L_{n,m}(\bar{f}, t) \} \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]}$$

$$+ c_9 \gamma^{m+1} \left((\sqrt{n})^{m+1} + \frac{1}{\eta^{m+1}} \right) \times \omega_{m+1}(\bar{f}, \eta, p, [x_2, y_2]) \tag{3.18}$$

(by Lemma 2.6)

Our main task is to prove that

$$\left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1} \{ \bar{f}(t) - L_{n,m}(\bar{f}, t) \} \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) \tag{3.19}$$

Once (3.18) is proved, we have from (3.18) and (3.19)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Delta_\gamma^{m+1}(fg)(t) \right\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} \\ & \leq c_{10} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} + \gamma^{m+1} \left((\sqrt{n})^{m+1} + \frac{1}{\eta^{m+1}} \right) \omega_{m+1}(\bar{f}, \eta, p, [x_2, y_2]) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently small values of γ and η . we choose $\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$

and taking sup of $\gamma \leq \tau$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{m+1}(\bar{f}, \tau, p, [x_2, y_2]) \\ & \leq c_{11} \left\{ \eta^\alpha + \left(\frac{\tau}{\eta}\right)^{m+1} \omega_{m+1}(f, \eta, p, [x_2, y_2]) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies by Lemma 2.5 that

$$\omega_{m+1}(fg, \tau, p, [x_2, y_2]) = O(\tau^\alpha); \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0,$$

This further implies that

$$\omega_{m+1}(f, \tau, p, I_2) = O(\tau^\alpha); \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.20}$$

We accomplish this by induction on α . Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(t)g(t) - L_{n,m}(f(u)g(u), t) \\ & = g(t) \{ f(t) - L_{n,m}(f, t) \} - L_{n,m}(f(u)(g(u) - g(t)), t) \\ & = g(t) \left(f(t) - L_{n,m}f(t) \right) - L_{n,m}(f(u)(u-t)g'(\xi), t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where ξ lies between u and t

It follows from hypothesis of theorem and Lemma 2.2 that right hand side of (3.20) is $O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)$,

$n \rightarrow \infty$. We now assume that (3.16) is true for $r-1 \leq \alpha < r$, $\alpha = (r-1) + \theta$, $0 \leq \theta < 1$, and prove (3.19) true for $r \leq \alpha < r+1$. Let $f_{\eta, m+1}$ be steklov mean for f

$$\begin{aligned} & f(t)g(t) - L_{n,m}(f(u)g(u), t) \\ & = g(t) \{ f(t) - L_{n,m}(f(u), t) \} - L_{n,m}(f(u)(g(u) - g(t)), t). \end{aligned}$$

We expand $f(u)(g(u) - g(t))$ as

$$S_1(u, t) = f(u) \left\{ (u-t)g'(t) + \frac{(u-t)^2}{2!} g^{(2)}(t) + \dots \right\} \tag{3.22}$$

Taking the first term in $S_1(u, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{n,m}(f(u)(u-t)g'(t), t) \\ & = g'(t) \left\{ L_{n,m}(f(u) - f_\eta(u))(u-t), t \right. \\ & \quad \left. + L_{n,m}((f_\eta(u) - f_\eta(t))(u-t), t) \right\} + f_\eta(t) L_{n,m}(u-t), t \\ & = (T_3(t) + T_4(t) + T_5(t)) g'(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

By lemma 2.2

$$\|T_3(t)\|_{L_p[x_2, y_2]} \leq c_{12} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|f - f_\eta\|_{L_p[x_1, y_1]} + \frac{1}{n^t} \|f\|_{L_p[I]} \right\}.$$

We use (2.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & T_4(t) = L_{n,m} \left((u-t) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(u-t)^i}{i!} f_\eta^{(i)}(t) + \frac{1}{m!} \int_t^u (u-w)^m f_\eta^{(m+1)}(w) dw \right\}, t \right) \\ & = L_{n,m} \left(\frac{(u-t)^{m+1}}{m!} f_\eta^{(m)}(t), t \right) + \frac{1}{m!} L_{n,m} \left((u-t) \int_t^u (u-w)^m f_\eta^{(m+1)}(w) dw, t \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

$$= T_{41}(t) + T_{42}(t).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\|T_{41}(t)\|_{L^p[x_2, y_2]} \leq \frac{c_{13}}{(\sqrt{n})^{m+1}} \|f_\eta^{(m)}\|_{L^p[x_2, y_2]} \tag{3.25}$$

Finally, Lemma 2.4 is applied to $T_{42}(t)$

$$\|T_{42}(t)\|_{L^p[x_2,y_2]} \leq c_{14} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{m+2}} \|f_\eta^{(m+1)}\|_{L^p[x_1,y_1]} + \frac{1}{n^l} \|f_\eta^{(m+1)}\|_{L^p(I)} \right\}, \quad (3.26)$$

and by (2.5)

$$T_5(t) = 0. \quad (3.27)$$

The later terms of $S_1(u, t)$ yield better order. Taking into account (3.20) to (3.27)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L_{n,m}(f(u)(u-t)g'(t), t)\|_{L^p[x_2,y_2]} \\ & \leq c_{15} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|f - f_\eta\|_{L^p[x_1,y_1]} + \frac{1}{n^l} \|f\|_{L^p(I)} \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{m+1}} \|f_\eta^{(m)}\|_{L^p[x_2,y_2]} + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{m+2}} \|f_\eta^{(m+1)}\|_{L^p[x_1,y_1]} \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{n^l} \|f_\eta^{(m+1)}\|_{L^p(I)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

We recall the properties related to Steklov mean in Lemma 2.7 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L_{n,m}(f(u)(u-t)g'(t), t)\|_{L^p[x_2,y_2]} \\ & \leq c_{16} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega_{m+1}(f, \eta, p, [x'_1, y'_1]) + \frac{1}{n^l} \|f\|_{L^p(I)} \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{m+1}} \eta^{-m} \omega_{m+1}(f, \eta, p, [x_1, y_1]) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{m+2}} \eta^{-(m+1)} \omega_{m+1}(f, \eta, p, [x'_1, y'_1]) \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{n^l} \eta^{-(m+1)} \|f\|_{L^p(I)} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

(where $x'_1 = x_1 - (m+1)n$, $y'_1 = y_1 + (m+1)\eta$).

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq c_{17} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \eta^\alpha + \frac{1}{n^l} + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{m+1}} \cdot \eta^{-m} \omega_m(f, \eta, p, [x_1, y_1]) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{m+2}} \eta^{-(m+1)} \eta^\alpha + \frac{1}{n^l} \eta^{-(m+1)} \|f\|_{L^p(I)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We have thus from (3.20) $\omega_{m+1}(f, \eta, p, [x_1, y_1]) = O(\tau^\alpha)$
From Lemma ([9] Theorem 6.1.2)

$$\omega_m(f, \eta, p, [x_1, y_1]) = O(\eta^\alpha). \quad (3.31)$$

We choose $\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ and l large enough, In (3.30) and utilizing (3.31)

$$\|L_{n,m}(f(u)(u-t)g'(t), t)\|_{L^p[x_2,y_2]} \leq c_{17} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n})^{\alpha+1}}.$$

This in conjunction with (3.21) and hypothesis of theorem, proves (3.20). Hence the induction hypothesis is complete. Thus we have completed proof of theorem.

4. CONCLUSION

The modification studied have wide application to other situation as well.

REFERENCES

- [1] Berens, H. and Lorentz, G.G.: Inverse Theorems for Bernstein Polynomials, Indiana University Math. J., 21, 693-708, (1972).
- [2] Ditizian, Z. and May, C.P.: L_p Saturation and Inverse Theorems for Modified Bernstein Polynomials, Indiana University Math. J., 25,733-751, (1976).
- [3] Davis, P.J. Interpolation and Approximation, Dover Publications, New York (1973).
- [4] Gupta, V., Srivastava, G.S. and Sinha, T.A.K.: Inverse Theorem in L_p -Approx By Modified Szasz - Minakayan operators, Demonstratio Maths, VXXVIII, No.2, 1995.
- [5] Hrushikesh, N. Mhaskar and Devidas V.Pai: Fundamentals of Approximation Theory, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi (2000).
- [6] Lorentz, G.G.: Bernstein Polynomials, University of Toronto Press, Toronto (1953).
- [7] May, C.P.: Saturation and Inverse Theorems for Combinations of a Class of Exponential type Operators, Can. J. Math., Vol. XXVIII. No.6, 1224-1250(1978).
- [8] Stein, E.: Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970).
- [9] Timan, A.F. : Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable, Hindustan Publishing Co., Delhi (1966).
- [10] T.A.K Sinha, A.K. Sharma, Approximation for Bernstein-Newton Interpolation, "Recent Advances in Mathematical Modeling Analysis" 33rd Annual National Conference of The Mathematical Society BHU; P.30. Feb 16-17, 2018.
- [11] T.A.K Sinha, A.K. Sharma, Improved Convergence by Bernstein-Newton Interpolation polynomials "Recent Advances in Mathematics and Scientific computing " Department of Mathematics, M.U., Bodh-Gaya, pp.24, Sept.18-19 (2018) UGC Sponsored International Conference.
- [12] T.A.K Sinha, P.N. Agrawal and A.R. Gairola, On L^p -Approximation by Iterative combination of Bernstein-Durrmeyer polynomials in International Scholarly Research Network Math. Analysis .Art ID 184374, pp.11, Vol.2011.

The views expressed by the authors in this paper are their own and has not been published elsewhere in this substantial form and also not submitted elsewhere for publication. The society is in no way responsible for the contents of the paper without having obtained a written permission.